

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 FAYEZ MANSOR, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP
14 AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES,
et al.,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. C23-0347JLR

ORDER

16 Before the court is Defendants' May 12, 2025 status report apprising the court of
17 Defendant U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services' ("USCIS") progress in reviewing
18 the Prima Facie Eligibility Streamlined Case Processing ("PFE SCP") system for
19 adjudicating concurrently-filed applications for employment authorization and temporary
20
21
22

1 | protected status (“TPS”), which is the subject of the parties’ cross motions. (5/12/25
2 | Status Report (Dkt. # 110); *see* Plfs. MSJ; Defs. MSJ.)¹


3 | In their latest status report, Defendants represent that, following the Senior
4 | Advisor for the Director’s Office of USCIS’s submission of certain recommendations
5 | related to the TPS SCP and TPS PFE SCP systems, the Acting Associate Director of
6 | USCIS’s Fraud Detection and National Security Directorate “reviewed data and pattern
7 | analysis for TPS employment authorizations, which revealed numerous quality assurance
8 | issues with the current iteration of TPS PFE SCP.” (5/12/25 Status Report at 3-4 (citing
9 | Knafla Decl. (Dkt. # 110-1) ¶¶ 5-6.) Defendants also report that USCIS “continues to
10 | review these findings and [] recommendations . . . to determine what additional steps
11 | should be taken to ensure the integrity” of the processes at issue. (*Id.* at 4.) Defendants
12 | request an additional 30-day stay of the parties’ cross motions, until June 11, 2025, to
13 | permit USCIS to “review its internal findings and recommendations and come to a final
14 | decision on what, if any, modifications to make to the TPS PFE SCP process.” (*Id.*)

15 | Because the court cannot anticipate whether or how the Defendants’ review of the
16 | processing systems at issue will affect the parties’ arguments in support of their
17 | respective cross motions, the court concludes that continuing the stay is appropriate at
18 | this time. The court DIRECTS the Clerk to renote the parties’ cross motions for

19 |
20 | ¹ The parties’ cross motions for summary judgment have been stayed since February
21 | 2025 pending USCIS’s review of the TPS SCP and TPS PFE SCP systems. (*See* Plfs. MSJ (Dkt.
22 | # 89); Defs. MSJ (Dkt. # 92).) The court detailed the factual background related to the parties’
cross motions and the court’s decision to stay the parties’ cross motions in its prior orders
continuing the stay and does not repeat that background here. (*See* 2/18/25 Order (Dkt. # 101);
3/17/25 Order (Dkt. # 104); 4/15/25 Order (Dkt. # 107); 4/29/25 Order (Dkt. # 109).)

1 summary judgment (Dkt. ## 89, 92) for **June 11, 2025**. Defendants are ORDERED to
2 file a report regarding the status of USCIS's review and its effect, if any, on the pending
3 cross motions by **June 11, 2025**.

4 Dated this 13th day of May, 2025.

5 
6

JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge